

Final Report – Instream Flow Assessment Project. 2005. Errata

The following is a summary of the comments of Mr. Steve Aslanian, Manager, Tatoosh Water Company provided in November of 2005 following publication of the report. Work with northwest hydraulic consultants (nhc) was completed and budget constraints did not allow for addressing these comments in the report.

Page 1-20 regarding monthly demands. The figures Tatoosh provided are those best delineated by instrumentation (flow meter) that Tatoosh had installed at the time, which because of malfunction has subsequently been replaced. While they approximately delineate withdrawals for those months, this caveat should be disclosed, particularly in light of the fact that the other purveyor did not make current withdrawal data available.

Page 1-20, the water rights the study reports here are double Tatoosh's rights, unfortunately skewing all subsequent conclusions the study makes. The error in determining the annual volume of water authorized by the multiple Tatoosh water rights was not nhc's or the project team's error; rather, it was Ecology's error.

Page 1-20, nhc states that the Rongey letters of 7/23/70 and 2/3/72 state that Rongey measured *spring* flows to arrive at his estimation of mean annual flow in the basin in normal years. Actually the letters state that Rongey measured 6 *stream* flows of prominent watercourses entering the basin, and used that more approximate information to arrive at the conclusions he made in those letters. The nhc study's depiction of Rongey's conclusions here are thus incomplete.

Page 3-3, paragraph 2.4.7, as the figures in this study used for the Tatoosh rights are in error, therefore the conclusions here are also in error.

Page 3-15, Figure 3-5, the incorrect data for average monthly demand makes subsequent conclusions and graphs produced on these pages incorrect.

prepared by Steve Hirschey, Senior Policy Analyst
Department of Ecology Water Resources Program